## Bis(triphenylphosphine)(phenyl trithiocarbonato)copper(I) Hemi(carbon disulfide)

## By P. G. Eller and R. R. Ryan

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, University of California, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

(Received 27 August 1976; accepted 5 October 1976)

Abstract.  $\operatorname{CuP_2D_4C_{43.5}H_{35}}$ ,  $M_r = 811.48$ ;  $\operatorname{CS_2}$  lost at 90–103 °C, m.p. 155–156 °C; monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ , a = 16.081 (5), b = 14.106 (2), c = 17.565 (2) Å,  $\beta = 93.99$  (2)°, Z = 4,  $D_x = 1.356$ ,  $D_m = 1.348$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>; R = 0.059,  $R_w = 0.058$ , for 2768 diffractomer reflections with  $I \ge 2\sigma(I)$ . The compound was originally suggested to have the adduct formulation  $\operatorname{Cu(PPh_3)_2(SPh).CS_2}$ , but the X-ray structure solution reveals instead a symmetrically bidentate phenyl trithiocarbonate ligand bonded to pseudotetrahedral Cu. One half mole of lattice CS<sub>2</sub> is also present.

X = O and S) which readily release  $CS_2$  on heating. A reversible interaction of CS<sub>2</sub> with the thiophenoxide compound is also found by us, but our X-ray diffraction study shows the product to be the result of  $CS_2$  insertion into a Cu-SPh bond. Thus, CS<sub>2</sub> has been incorporated into a trithiocarbonate ligand rather than binding as a simple adduct ligand, giving a structure reported similar to that earlier for very Cu(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(S<sub>2</sub>CSEt) (Avdeef & Fackler, 1975). The results of our crystallographic investigation of the phenyl derivative are reported here.

**Introduction.** Recently Reichle (1971) reported that CS, forms 1:1 adducts with  $Cu(PPh_3)_2(XPh)$  (where

Orange crystals are readily formed when  $Cu(PPh_3)_2$ -(SPh) is dissolved in a  $CS_2$ /ethanol mixture and the excess  $CS_2$  is allowed to evaporate. The compound

Table 1.	Fractional	coordinates	(×10⁴	) and	thermal	parameters (	(×10	3)
----------	------------	-------------	-------	-------	---------	--------------	------	----

Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses. The form of the anisotropic thermal parameters is  $\exp[-2\pi^2(U_{11}h^2a^{*2} + U_{22}k^2b^{*2} + U_{34}l^2c^{*2} + 2U_{12}hka^*b^*\cos\gamma^* + 2U_{13}hla^*c^*\cos\beta^* + 2U_{23}klb^*c^*\cos\alpha^*]$ .

	x	У	Z	$U_{11}$	$U_{22}$	$U_{33}$	$U_{12}$	$U_{13}$	$U_{23}$
Cu	2245-6 (6)	52.5(7)	4326-2 (5)	51 (1)	58.0 (8)	41.7(7)	-1.6(7)	12.7(6)	-2.7(6)
P(1)	2334 (1)	-1218(1)	5107(1)	36 (2)	53(1)	38(1)	2(1)	9(1)	-4(1)
P(2)	2296(1)	3395(1)	9641 (1)	51 (2)	54 (1)	37 (1)	4(1)	цŰ	-1(1)
S(1)	1488 (1)	-111(1)	3073 (1)	39 (2)	84 (2)	52 (1)	2 (1)	5(1)	-1(1)
S(2)	3293 (1)	-292 (1)	3425 (1)	38 (2)	84 (2)	39(1)	-3(1)	7(1)	-7(1)
<b>S</b> (3)	2527(1)	-439 (2)	1815(1)	58 (2)	123 (2)	41 (1)	6 (2)	2(1)	12(1)
S(4)	-862 (3)	342 (3)	129(3)	154 (4)	138(3)	331 (6)	6 (3)	47 (4)	-21(4)
	x	у	Ζ	$B(\text{\AA}^2)$		x	у	Z	B (Å <sup>2</sup> )
C(1)	2458 (5)	-290 (5)	2800 (4)	3.6(2)	C(23)	7335 (5)	950 (6)	1140 (4)	5.3(2)
C(2)	3605 (5)	-595 (5)	1719 (4)	4 3 (2)	C(24)	7796 (5)	1662 (6)	1477 (4)	5.1(2)
C(3)	3988 (6)	-1421 (6)	1919 (4)	5.3(2)	C(25)	7896 (5)	2526 (5)	1102 (4)	4 · 2 (2)
C(4)	4840 (6)	-1536(6)	1836 (5)	6.1 (2)	C(26)	3295 (5)	3054 (5)	129 (4)	3.5(2)
C(5)	5268 (6)	-827 (6)	1539 (4)	6.0(2)	C(27)	3590 (5)	3589 (5)	747 (4)	4.6(2)
C(6)	4909 (6)	-9 (6)	1323 (5)	5.9(2)	C(28)	4365 (6)	3380 (6)	1126 (4)	5.3(2)
C(7)	4056 (6)	131 (6)	1413 (4)	5.4(2)	C(29)	4838 (5)	2676 (6)	874 (4)	5.5 (2)
C(8)	6742 (5)	1127 (5)	4242 (4)	3.3(2)	C(30)	4560 (6)	2141 (6)	265 (5)	6.2(2)
C(9)	5973 (5)	1028 (5)	4549 (4)	3.9(2)	C(31)	3780 (5)	2320 (5)	-102 (4)	4.8(2)
C(10)	5254 (5)	905 (5)	4080 (4)	4.9(2)	C(32)	1535 (5)	2963 (5)	259 (4)	3.2(2)
C(11)	5294 (5)	859 (5)	3303 (4)	5.1 (2)	C(33)	1685 (5)	2218 (6)	763 (4)	5.4 (2)
C(12)	6040 (5)	962 (5)	2989 (4)	4.7 (2)	C(34)	1051 (6)	1915 (6)	1232 (5)	6.2(2)
C(13)	6776 (5)	1095 (5)	3451 (4)	4.3(2)	C(35)	307 (6)	2385 (6)	1188 (5)	6.6(2)
C(14)	8477 (5)	1449 (5)	4250 (4)	3.6(2)	C(36)	145 (6)	3116 (6)	708 (5)	6.0(2)
C(15)	8692 (5)	2327 (6)	4007 (4)	5-1 (2)	C(37)	764 (5)	3400 (5)	229 (4)	4.7(2)
C(16)	9337 (6)	2429 (6)	3491 (5)	6.8 (2)	C(38)	2192 (5)	2413 (5)	3832 (4)	3.8(2)
C(17)	9700 (6)	1643 (6)	3228 (4)	6.0(2)	C(39)	1628 (5)	3126 (6)	3773 (4)	5.1(2)
C(18)	9493 (6)	774 (6)	3440 (4)	5.7(2)	C(40)	1569 (6)	3727 (6)	3125 (5)	6.7(2)
C(19)	8873 (5)	662(5)	3965 (4)	4.7(2)	C(41)	2095 (6)	3558 (6)	2560 (5)	6.4(2)
C(20)	7514 (5)	2646 (5)	375 (4)	3 · 3 (2)	C(42)	2653 (6)	2863 (6)	2589 (5)	6.3 (2)
C(21)	7036 (5)	1926 (5)	45 (4)	4.0(2)	C(43)	2710 (5)	2252 (5)	3237 (4)	5.3(2)
C(22)	6946 (5)	1077 (5)	431 (4)	5.2(2)	C(44)	0	0	0	9.8(5)

melts with effervescence at 90-103 °C to a red liquid, which crystallizes to a cream-colored solid that subsequently melts at 155–156°C. The Cu(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(SPh) starting material melts at 156-157°C. Precession photographs revealed Laue symmetry 2/m and systematic absences 0k0 for k = 2n + 1 and h0l for l =2n + 1, establishing the space group as  $P2_1/c$ . With graphite-monochromatized Cu Ka radiation ( $\lambda$  = 1.5405 Å) and a Picker FACS-I automated diffractometer, setting angles for 12 reflections in the  $2\theta$  range  $81-96^\circ$  were measured and used in a cell refinement. Crystal density was measured by flotation in a CCl<sub>4</sub>/petroleum spirit mixture. Intensities were measured (with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation) by the  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$  method to a  $2\theta$  limit of 90° in the reciprocal-space quadrant (h,  $k, \pm l$ ). Of the 3199 unique reflections measured, 2768 had  $I \ge 2\sigma(I)$  and were used in subsequent calculations.  $\sigma$ 's were calculated according to the formula



Fig. 1. A view of the structure of  $Cu(PPh_3)_2(S_2CSPh)$ .

Table 2. Selected distances (Å) and angles (°)

Cu-P(1)	2.255(2)	P(1)– $Cu$ – $P(2)$	128-47 (8)
Cu-P(2)	2.258 (2)	P(1) - Cu - S(1)	118.54 (8)
Cu-S(1)	2 452 (2)	P(1)- $Cu$ - $S(2)$	102.68 (8)
Cu-S(2)	2.440(2)	P(2)-Cu-S(1)	108-55 (8)
Cu-C(1)	2 769 (6)	P(2)-Cu-S(2)	109.68 (8)
P(1) - C(8)	1.815(7)	S(1)-Cu-S(2)	73.77 (7)
P(1)-C(14)	1 814 (7)	S(1)-C(1)-S(2)	122-1 (4)
P(1) - C(20)	1.837 (7)	S(1) - C(1) - S(3)	115-0 (4)
P(2)-C(26)	1.832(7)	S(2) - C(1) - S(3)	122-9 (4)
P(2)-C(32)	1.798 (7)	C(1)-S(3)-C(2)	103-8 (4)
P(2) - C(38)	1.820 (7)	Cu-S(1)-C(1)	81.8(2)
C(1) - S(1)	1.681(7)	Cu-S(2)-C(1)	82·3 (2)
C(1) - S(2)	1.675(7)		
C(1) - S(3)	1.754 (7)		
C(2) - S(3)	1.767 (8)		
C(44)-S(4)	1.500 (4)		

		Average
C-C	1.33(1)-1.43(1)	1.38
Cu-P-C	110.0 (2)-120.3 (3)	115.0
C-P-C	102.3 (3)-104.7 (3)	103 - 3
P-C-C	116.6(5)-124.6(5)	120.5
S-C-C	119.3 (6)-121.0 (7)	120-2
C-C-C	118.0 (8)-123.4 (8)	120.0

С

 $\sigma(I) = \{T + B + [0.015(T - B)]^2\}^{1/2}$ , where T and B represent the total count and total background.

The direct-methods technique was used to locate the heavy atoms, and C atoms were located by straightforward Fourier/least-squares methods. H atoms were included in fixed positions of idealized  $D_{6h}$  geometry (with one position omitted) with adjacent H-H distances of 2.34 Å and a fixed B value of  $3.0 \text{ Å}^2$ . Neutral-atom scattering factors were used (Cromer, 1976) and anomalous dispersion terms were used for Cu, S and P (Cromer & Liberman, 1970). Absorption corrections were applied with transmission coefficients ranging between 0.53 and 0.75 [ $\mu = 36.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , crystal dimensions  $0.014 \times 0.033 \times 0.010$  cm, crystal faces  $(01\bar{1}), (001), \{100\}, (011), (0\bar{1}\bar{1})\}$ . Other computational details were as previously described (Ryan & Swanson, 1974).

The maximum parameter shift in the final leastsquares cycle was less than 0.1 e.s.d., and a final difference Fourier synthesis revealed the principal peaks to have  $|\rho| < 0.46$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> in the vicinity of a phenyl group. The final R values were  $R_F = 0.059$  and  $R_F^{\nu} =$ 0.058.\* Atomic parameters are given in Table 1, distances and angles in Table 2, and a view of the structure in Fig. 1.

Discussion. The structure consists of discrete Cu(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(S<sub>2</sub>CSPh) molecules at a general position and lattice CS, molecules at a center of symmetry. The coordinated  $CS_2$  molecule is not present as a simple adduct ligand, but is part of a trithiocarbonate ligand resulting from insertion into the Cu-SPh bond. The compound is, therefore, very similar to Cu(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-(S2CSEt), which had previously been prepared by an indirect route and determined structurally (Avdeef, 1973; Avdeef & Fackler, 1975). The trithiocarbonate ligand is a symmetrically bonded bidentate ligand with C-S distances in the range 1.681(7)-1.754(7) Å. The CS<sub>3</sub> group is approximately planar, the C atom lying 0.01Å from the four-atom unweighted least-squares plane. The Cu<sup>1</sup> is pseudotetrahedrally coordinated to the two triphenylphosphine ligands [Cu-P 2.255 (2), 2.258 (2) Å] and to the bidentate S ligand [Cu-S 2.440(2),2.452(2) Å]. The structural parameters are in close agreement with the previously reported structure of Cu(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(S<sub>2</sub>CSEt), with two exceptions. The Cu-S bond lengths are similar in the present case and differ by 0.11 Å in the ethyl compound. Unlike the earlier structure, the four-atom CuS<sub>2</sub>C moiety is planar within

<sup>\*</sup> A list of structure factors has been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 32196 (22 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 1NZ, England.

0.01 Å in the present case; the dihedral angles between the CuS<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>2</sub>C planes are 1.7 and 12.7° in the two determinations. By similar means, we have also prepared the related compounds Cu(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(SMe).CS<sub>2</sub> and Ag(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(SPh).CS<sub>2</sub>; presumably the trithiocarbonate formulation applies to these compounds as well.

We thank Drs Fackler and Avdeef for making available information on the structure of  $Cu(PPh_3)_2$ -(S<sub>2</sub>CSEt) in advance of publication.

## References

- AVDEEF, A. (1973). PhD Thesis, Case Western Reserve Univ.
- Avdeef, A. & Fackler, J. P. Jr (1975). J. Coord. Chem. 4, 211–215.
- CROMER, D. T. (1976). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- CROMER, D. T. & LIBERMAN, D. (1970). J. Chem. Phys. 53, 1891–1898.
- REICHLE, W. T. (1971). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 5, 325-332.
- RYAN, R. R. & SWANSON, B. I. (1974). Inorg. Chem. 13, 1681–1684.

Acta Cryst. (1977). B33, 621–623

## Osmium Tetroxide–9-Methylbenzanthracene–Bis(pyridine) Adduct (Toluene Solvate)

By Thierry Prangé and Claudine Pascard

Cristallochimie ICSN du CNRS, 91190 Gif/Yvette, France

(Received 24 August 1976; accepted 20 October 1976)

Abstract.  $C_{36}H_{24}N_2O_4Os$ ; monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ ; a = 11.285 (4), b = 32.507 (6), c = 8.044 (5) Å;  $\beta = 93.04^{\circ}$  and Z = 4. The structure was refined to an R of 9.8%. Distortion from idealized octahedral geometry occurs around the Os atom; the aromatic parts of the molecule are folded in two parts about the saturated C ring.

**Introduction.** A single crystal of the title adduct (II) (Fig. 1) obtained from toluene was mounted on a PW 1100 four-circle automatic diffractometer with graphite-monochromatized Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation. 5506 reflexions were scanned in the  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$  mode above the background (3 $\sigma$ ). No absorption corrections were made. The position of the Os atom was easily obtained



Fig. 1. Synthesis of the title adduct.

from the Patterson map, and successive Fourier syntheses revealed step by step the whole molecule. An additional molecule of solvent (toluene) was also located in the asymmetric unit.

Refinement was carried out by full-matrix least squares with a modified version of ORFLS (Busing, Martin & Levy, 1962). The thermal parameters of the non-hydrogen atoms were anisotropic and the H atoms were situated at their theoretical positions (except those of benzanthracene and toluene methyl groups). The scattering factors were those given in International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). The final R is 9.8%.\*

The coordinates and thermal parameters for the heavy atoms are listed in Table 1.

**Discussion.** The addition of osmium tetroxide to olefins gives an adduct which under smooth reductive conditions leads to a pure *cis*-diol (Criegee, 1936). This convenient synthesis can be extended, in some cases, to

<sup>\*</sup> A list of structure factors has been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 32230 (30 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 1NZ, England.